

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ROBERT SPAHR,

*Plaintiff,*

vs.

RAMSEY, *et al.*

*Defendants.*

3:12-cv-00231-LRH-WGC

ORDER

Plaintiff, a Nevada state inmate, has filed an application (#1) to proceed *in forma pauperis* seeking to initiate a civil rights action.

Plaintiff has not submitted a proper application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Under Local Rule LSR 1-1, a plaintiff must submit an application on the Court's required form. Plaintiff did not submit the application on the Court's required form but instead used what appears to be a Nevada state court form.

It does not appear from the allegations of the complaint that a dismissal without prejudice of this improperly-commenced action would result in a promptly-filed new action being time-barred.

IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the application (#1) to proceed *in forma pauperis* is DENIED without prejudice.

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice to the filing of a new complaint in a new action together with either the required \$350.00 filing fee or a properly completed new application to proceed *in forma pauperis* on the proper form and with all required, and new, attachments.

1 IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that the Clerk shall send plaintiff two copies each of an *in forma*  
2 *pauperis* application form for a prisoner and a § 1983 complaint form, one copy of the instructions for  
3 each form, and one copy of the papers that plaintiff submitted in this action.

4 The Clerk of Court shall enter final judgment accordingly, dismissing this action without  
5 prejudice.

6 DATED this 5th day of June, 2012.



9 LARRY R. HICKS  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28